Customer Facility Charge Audit Reports

Year ended June 30, 2010

Customer Facility Charge Audit Reports

Year ended June 30, 2010

# **Table of Contents**

	Page
Independent Auditor's Report on Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Revenues and Expenditures	1
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on the Customer Facility Charge Program and on Internal Control over Compliance	2
Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Revenues and Expenditures	4
Notes to Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Revenues and Expenditures	5



Sacramento • Walnut Creek • Oakland • Los Angeles • Century City • Newport Beach • San Diego

mgocpa.com

### Independent Auditor's Report on Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Revenues and Expenditures

The Honorable Board of Commissioners Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority Burbank, California:

We have audited the basic financial statements of the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority (the Authority) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated November 22, 2010. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit was made for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements of the Authority taken as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Revenues and Expenditures for the year ended June 30, 2010 is presented for purposes of additional analysis as specified in the California Civil Code Section 1936, and is not a required part of the Authority's basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Commissioners of the Authority, and the California State Controller's Office, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

macias Jini & O'Connell LLP

Certified Public Accountants

Los Angeles, California November 22, 2010

505 14th Street 5th Floor Oakland CA 94612 515 S. Figueroa Street Suite 325 Los Angeles CA 90071 2029 Century Park East Suite 500 Los Angeles CA 90067 1201 Dove Street Suite 680 Newport Beach CA 92660 225 Broadway Suite 1750 San Diego CA 92101



Sacramento • Walnut Creek • Oakland • Los Angeles • Century City • Newport Beach • San Diego

mgocpa.com

### Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on the Customer Facility Charge Program and on Internal Control over Compliance

The Honorable Board of Commissioners Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority Burbank, California:

### Compliance

We have audited the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority's (the Authority) compliance with the requirements described in the California Civil Code Section 1936 that could have a direct and material effect on its customer facility charge program for the year ended June 30, 2010. Compliance with the requirements of laws and regulations applicable to its customer facility charge program is the responsibility of the Authority's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Authority's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the customer facility charge program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Authority's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Authority's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the Authority complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its customer facility charge program for the year ended June 30, 2010.

### **Internal Control over Compliance**

The management of the Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws and regulations applicable to the customer facility charge program. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Authority's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the customer facility charge program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control over compliance.

3000 S Street Suite 300 Sacramento CA 95816 2121 N. California Blvd. Suite 750 Walnut Creek CA 95496 505 14th Street 5th Floor Oakland CA 94612 515 S. Figueroa Street Suite 325 Los Angeles CA 90071 2029 Century Park East Suite 500 Los Angeles CA 90067 1201 Dove Street Suite 680 Newport Beach CA 92660 225 Broadway Suite 1750 San Diego CA 92101 A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of the customer facility charge program on a timely basis. A *material weakness* in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance of the customer facility charge program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, of the Board of Commissioners of the Authority, and the California State Controller's Office, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

ias Jini & O'Connell LLP

Certified Public Accountants

Los Angeles, California November 22, 2010

Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Revenues and Expenditures

Year ended June 30, 2010 and each quarter during the period from July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010

(With cumulative total amounts at June 30, 2010 and 2009)

Revenues	_	Cumulative total – June 30, 2009	September 30, 2009	Quarter December 31, 2009	r ended March 31, 2010	June 30, 2010	Year ended June 30, 2010	Cumulative total – December 1 2009 to June 30, 2010
Customer facility charge revenues	\$	_	_	_	652,050	746,030	1,398,080	1,398,080
Interest earned	_							
Total customer facility charge revenues	\$	_			652,050	746,030	1,398,080	1,398,080
Expenditures	-							
Development Review and Other Planning Costs	\$					1,105,186	1,105,186	1,105,186
Total expenditures on approved customer facility charge projects	\$					1,105,186	1,105,186	1,105,186

See accompanying notes to schedule of customer facility charge revenues and expenditures.

Notes to Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Revenues and Expenditures

Year ended June 30, 2010

### (1) General

Assembly Bill 491 of the 2001-2002 California Legislature (codified in California Civil Code Section 1936 et seq. (Code)) authorized the local imposition of Customer Facility Charges (CFC) and use of CFC revenue to plan, finance, design and construct on-airport consolidated rental car facilities (CRCF). The Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority (Authority), owner and operator of Bob Hope Airport (Airport), began discussions with the rental car operators at the Airport in winter of 2008 to identify a project that will consolidate the rental car operations at the Airport.

This project would consolidate on- and off-airport rental car operations into a single facility. This project would also relocate the rental car ready return lot which is located in a runway safety area. As part of a larger Regional Intermodal Transportation Center (RITC), the CRCF will contain the customer service, ready return, and quick turnaround (QTA) facilities, and rental car fueling and delivery system. The consolidation of these facilities will eliminate over 700,000 annual trips by rental car companies on Empire Avenue between the current ready return lot and the service center facilities used for the washing and fueling of the rental cars on the southwest quadrant of the Airport.

On September 21, 2009, the Authority approved Resolution 429 authorizing collection of a Customer Facility Charge, effective December 1, 2009, of \$10 per rental car contract for an initial period of two years to fund the planning and other initial costs of a CRCF. It is anticipated that the Authority will proceed with construction and financing of the CRCF, and that the collection authority period will be extended accordingly. The CRCF will be financed through a yet to be determined bond issuance supported by CFC revenues and residual rent from the rental car companies, as required.

The Authority approved planning and other related activities to prepare and submit a Development Review (DR) package to the City of Burbank (City) to obtain entitlements to construct a CRCF as part of a larger RITC project. The portion of the DR package costs for the RITC project attributable to the CRCF expended through June 25, 2010 are included in the accompanying Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Revenues and Expenditures (Schedule) on page 4.

On August 24, 2010, the City of Burbank approved entitlements and minor amendments to the Development Agreement to permit the Authority to proceed with the RITC project to be located in the southeast corner of the A-1 North Property. This project will include a transportation center and the CRCF described above. An elevated covered moving sidewalk will accommodate pedestrian travel between the RITC/CRFC and the terminal.

As of October 2010, the costs of the CRCF are estimated to be approximately \$88 million, excluding financing costs. The estimated CRCF costs are included in the approximately \$120 million total estimated costs of the RITC project.

### (2) Basis of Accounting

The accompanying Schedule is presented using the cash basis of accounting, whereby revenues and expenditures are recognized during the period in which they are received or disbursed.

Notes to Schedule of Customer Facility Charge Revenues and Expenditures

Year ended June 30, 2010

### (3) Schedule of Facility Charge Revenues and Expenditures

The accompanying Schedule presents the revenues received from Customer Facility Charges and expenditures incurred on the CRCF.

# (4) Cumulative Total

Cumulative total columns on the Schedule are presented for additional analytical data. Such information is not necessary for a fair presentation of the Schedule.