Pursuant to the California Department of Transportation’s (“Caltrans”) February 28, 2008 decision granting the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority (“Authority”) a variance (“Variance”) from the requirements of Section 5012 of the California Noise Standards, Title 21 California Code of Regulations, §§ 5000 et seq., (“Noise Standards”), effective March 29, 2008 (the “Decision”), the Authority provides the following update regarding the Bob Hope Airport’s (“Airport”) Noise Impact Area Reduction Plan (“Plan”) and the Authority’s Part 161 Study (“Study”):

SUMMARY OF MAJOR PLAN ACCOMPLISHMENTS SINCE THE GRANT OF THE CURRENT VARIANCE

- The Airport’s Noise Impact Area for the first quarter 2015, as defined by the Noise Standards, was 6.17 acres of incompatible land within the 65 dB contour a 9.5% decrease from the previous quarter of 6.82 acres.\(^1\) The Noise Impact Area at the time the Authority’s current variance was granted was 60.84 acres.

- As of March 31, 2015, the Authority has completed the acoustical treatment of 2,384 residences as part of its Residential Acoustical Treatment Program (“RATP”), plus an additional 61 residences are in the construction phase of the program, and there are no units in either the design phase or pending signing of the RATP participation agreement. (For a discussion of approved funding for future acoustical

---

\(^1\) The noise impact area has been calculated using updated master contours beginning in the second quarter of 2009.
SPECIFIC MEASURES TAKEN BY THE AUTHORITY IN THE PAST QUARTER

- During the quarter staff responded to 47 noise complaints from 29 callers, slightly more from the same period in 2014 when 46 noise complaints were filed from 28 callers.

- During the quarter staff sent 5 notices to operators for suspected noise rule violations, an increase of 5 from last quarter and no change from the same period in 2014.

- The Authority and its consultant, Coffman Associates continue working toward an update to the Airport’s existing Noise Compatibility Program (NCP). A public hearing was held in March 2015 and a draft final revised NCP is will be presented to the Airport Authority in July for submission to the FAA.

REPORT ON THE STATUS OF THE PART 161 STUDY

The Airport Authority, on February 2, 2009, as required by the Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990 (“ANCA”), submitted pursuant to 14 C.F.R. Part 161 its Part 161 Application to the FAA, seeking approval by the FAA of the imposition of a mandatory full nighttime curfew at the Airport between the hours of 10:00 p.m. through 6:59 a.m. Accompanying the Application, the Authority submitted documentation in support of a finding that the proposed full mandatory curfew in the Application qualifies for a “categorical exclusion” under the National Environmental Policy Act.
As noted in the report for the Third Quarter of 2009, by letter dated October 30, 2009, the FAA announced that it had denied the Authority’s Part 161 Application seeking FAA approval for the imposition of a full mandatory nighttime curfew at the Airport. The FAA’s decision indicated that it did not find that the Application satisfied four of the six statutory conditions required for a restriction to be approved under Part 161, and that it viewed the ongoing acoustical treatment program at the Airport as the viable method for addressing the Airport’s noise problems.

REPORT ON APPROVED FUNDING FOR FUTURE ACOUSTICAL TREATMENT

The RATP program is an approved mitigation measure contained in the Authority’s second Part 150 Study completed in 1998 and approved by the FAA in 2000. The Authority has commenced a third Part 150 Study and has completed the first of two phases which establishes a revised Noise Exposure Map (NEM). The second phase updates the Noise Compatibility Program (NCP) and once approved will enable the Authority to apply for further grant funding to continue the program. Until the FAA approves the NCP, the Authority will not be able to add any additional units to the RATP program. The mitigation measures being studied by the Authority for inclusion in the NCP are as follows:

- Continued funding for eligible single family parcels within the NEM
- Eligibility for Multi-Family properties within the NEM
- Development of an Avigation Easement purchase option.
- Elimination of certain items previously identified in the NCP as mitigation measures that have been completed or that are no longer applicable.
The Authority has currently issued design and/or construction management contracts for the acoustical treatment of approximately 2,445 homes as part of its RATP. As referenced above, the RATP is funded by Airport Improvement Program (“AIP”) grants\(^\text{2}\) from the federal government, the Authority’s Passenger Facility Charge (“PFC”) program,\(^\text{3}\) and Authority funds. Incorporating the revised NEM, the remaining eligible properties within the current 1st Quarter 2015 65 CNEL boundary area are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Units</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>In Construction</th>
<th>Not Treated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-Family</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Family</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the 44 units that have not been treated, the Authority has offered the RATP benefits to all of these property owners; 12 have reported that they may have future interest but not at this time, 16 are not able to participate due to code deficiencies, 8 have indicated they are not interested in participating, and 8 have failed to respond to repeated outreach efforts.

\(^\text{2}\) The federal AIP program is administered by the FAA and is intended to provide airports with grant funds for various FAA-approved programs. The Authority has received, as of the close of the 1st quarter 2015, $82,677,619 in AIP grants for acoustic treatment of homes of which $9,659,010 was for the acoustic treatment of four schools. This level of grant commitment represents 80% AIP funding of the costs for insulating approximately 2,445 homes; additionally the authority insulated four schools.

\(^\text{3}\) The PFC program is based on federal law that allows collection of a fixed fee from each enplaned air carrier passenger using the Airport. The fees collected are intended for the purpose of improving airport infrastructure and mitigating environmental impacts of airport operations. The Authority is authorized to collect $4.50 PFC per enplaned passenger. A significant portion of current and future PFC funds is now being earmarked for various security and infrastructure projects at the Airport.
The Authority’s PFC plan was approved by the FAA and limits the amount of PFC funding for RATP funding to a maximum of 50% of the total project cost. This PFC plan was approved by the FAA to permit fee collection over a multi-year period to use PFC funds to implement various noise mitigation projects. The Authority’s intention has been to conform to its RATP to maximize FAA funding. The FAA previously modified its program guidance to require that AIP funds be prioritized for use in treating the most noise-affected homes inside the 65 CNEL forecasted contour. The Authority’s share of future AIP funds will be contingent on the federal budget process. The FAA allocates AIP grants to 429 commercial air carrier airports nationwide based on federal appropriations.

The rate at which homes will be acoustically treated through the RATP depends on future AIP grant levels, the amount of PFC funds collected and not earmarked for other security or infrastructure purposes, and construction costs. In the last few years, the Authority experienced a considerable increase in the, per unit costs of residential acoustical treatment, due to higher building materials and labor costs that are reflected in contractors’ bids. These increased costs are consistent with a nationwide trend.

### STATUS OF INDIVIDUAL ELEMENTS OF AUTHORITY’S NOISE IMPACT AREA REDUCTION PLAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOISE MITIGATION MEASURES</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Continue existing acoustical</td>
<td>As of March 31, 2015, Acoustical treatment of 2, 384 units is completed. Sixty-One units are in construction, design and/or pending funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>treatment program for single-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>family homes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Acquisition of avigation</td>
<td>As of March 31, 2015 a total of 2,118 Avigation Easements have been acquired.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>easements over land within the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 + CNEL contour (prior program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eligibility area and as currently</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amended with 2013 Noise Exposure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEASURE</td>
<td>STATUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Continue as needed acoustical treatment program for schools and preschools not previously treated within 65 CNEL contour.</td>
<td>Completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Continue noise abatement information program.</td>
<td>Ongoing. Airport Monitoring &amp; Flight Tracking System accessible via airport website introduced March 2003. A newer upgraded Flight Tracking System (WebTrak) was introduced to the public via airport website on December 2014. In September 2004 noise abatement information was painted on the Runway 15 blast fence advising pilots of Voluntary Curfew hours and to “Fly Quietly.” Information also was posted at fixed based operations facilities. Illuminated fly quietly information was installed at each aircraft carrier parking gate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Monitor log of nighttime runway use and operations by aircraft type.</td>
<td>Ongoing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## NOISE ABATEMENT MEASURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEASURE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Continue requiring compliance with Airport’s Engine Test Run-up Policy.</td>
<td>Ongoing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Continue promoting use of NBAA noise abatement procedures, or equivalent manufacture procedure, by general aviation jets.</td>
<td>Ongoing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pursuant to the California Department of Transportation’s ("Caltrans") February 28, 2008 decision granting the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority ("Authority") a variance ("Variance") from the requirements of Section 5012 of the California Noise Standards, Title 21 California Code of Regulations, §§ 5000 et seq., ("Noise Standards"), effective March 29, 2008 (the "Decision"), the Authority provides the following update regarding the Bob Hope Airport’s ("Airport") Noise Impact Area Reduction Plan ("Plan") and the Authority’s Part 161 Study ("Study"): 

**SUMMARY OF MAJOR PLAN ACCOMPLISHMENTS SINCE THE GRANT OF THE CURRENT VARIANCE**

- The Airport’s Noise Impact Area for the second quarter 2015, as defined by the Noise Standards, was 5.59 acres of incompatible land within the 65 dB contour a 9.6% decrease from the previous quarter of 6.17 acres.\(^1\) The Noise Impact Area at the time the Authority’s current variance was granted was 60.84 acres.

- As of June 30, 2015, the Authority has completed the acoustical treatment of 2,445 residences as part of its Residential Acoustical Treatment Program ("RATP"), and there are no units under construction, design phase or pending signing of the RATP participation agreement. (For a discussion of approved funding for future acoustical treatment, please see separate section entitled “Report Regarding Approved Funding for Future Acoustical Treatment” below.)

---

\(^1\) The noise impact area has been calculated using updated master contours beginning in the second quarter of 2009.
SPECIFIC MEASURES TAKEN BY THE AUTHORITY IN THE PAST QUARTER

• During the quarter staff responded to 146 noise complaints from 57 callers, more from the same period in 2014 when 57 noise complaints were filed from 40 callers.

• During the quarter staff sent 3 notices to operators for suspected noise rule violations, a decrease of 4 from last quarter and 1 more from the same period in 2014.

• The Authority and its consultant, Coffman Associates continue working toward an update to the Airport’s existing Noise Compatibility Program (NCP). A public hearing was held in March 2015 and a draft final revised NCP was presented to the Airport Authority in July and subsequently submitted to the FAA for their review and approval.

REPORT ON THE STATUS OF THE PART 161 STUDY

The Airport Authority, on February 2, 2009, as required by the Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990 (“ANCA”), submitted pursuant to 14 C.F.R. Part 161 its Part 161 Application to the FAA, seeking approval by the FAA of the imposition of a mandatory full nighttime curfew at the Airport between the hours of 10:00 p.m. through 6:59 a.m. Accompanying the Application, the Authority submitted documentation in support of a finding that the proposed full mandatory curfew in the Application qualifies for a “categorical exclusion” under the National Environmental Policy Act.

As noted in the report for the Third Quarter of 2009, by letter dated October 30, 2009, the FAA announced that it had denied the Authority’s Part 161 Application seeking
FAA approval for the imposition of a full mandatory nighttime curfew at the Airport. The FAA’s decision indicated that it did not find that the Application satisfied four of the six statutory conditions required for a restriction to be approved under Part 161, and that it viewed the ongoing acoustical treatment program at the Airport as the viable method for addressing the Airport’s noise problems.

**REPORT ON APPROVED FUNDING FOR FUTURE ACOUSTICAL TREATMENT**

The RATP program is an approved mitigation measure contained in the Authority’s second Part 150 Study completed in 1998 and approved by the FAA in 2000. The Authority has commenced a third Part 150 Study and has completed the first of two phases which establishes a revised Noise Exposure Map (NEM). The second phase updates the Noise Compatibility Program (NCP) and once approved will enable the Authority to apply for further grant funding to continue the program. Until the FAA approves the NCP, the Authority will not be able to add any additional units to the RATP program. The mitigation measures being studied by the Authority for inclusion in the NCP are as follows:

- Continued funding for eligible single family parcels within the NEM
- Eligibility for Multi-Family properties within the NEM
- Development of an Avigation Easement purchase option.
- Elimination of certain items previously identified in the NCP as mitigation measures that have been completed or that are no longer applicable.

The Authority has currently issued design and/or construction management contracts for the acoustical treatment of approximately 2,445 homes as part of its RATP. As referenced above, the RATP is funded by Airport Improvement Program ("AIP")
grants from the federal government, the Authority’s Passenger Facility Charge (“PFC”) program, and Authority funds. Incorporating the revised NEM, the remaining eligible properties within the current 2nd Quarter 2015 65 CNEL boundary area are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Units</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>In Construction</th>
<th>Not Treated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-Family</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Family</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the 40 units that have not been treated, the Authority has offered the RATP benefits to all of these property owners; 11 have reported that they may have future interest but not at this time, 14 are not able to participate due to code deficiencies, 7 have indicated they are not interested in participating, and 8 have failed to respond to repeated outreach efforts.

The Authority’s PFC plan was approved by the FAA and limits the amount of PFC funding for RATP funding to a maximum of 50% of the total project cost. This PFC plan was approved by the FAA to permit fee collection over a multi-year period to use PFC funds to implement various noise mitigation projects. The Authority’s intention has been to conform to its RATP to maximize FAA funding. The FAA previously modified its

---

2 The federal AIP program is administered by the FAA and is intended to provide airports with grant funds for various FAA-approved programs. The Authority has received, as of the close of the 2nd quarter 2015, $82,677,619 in AIP grants for acoustic treatment of homes of which $9,659,010 was for the acoustic treatment of four schools. This level of grant commitment represents 80% AIP funding of the costs for insulating approximately 2,445 homes; additionally the authority insulated four schools.

3 The PFC program is based on federal law that allows collection of a fixed fee from each enplaned air carrier passenger using the Airport. The fees collected are intended for the purpose of improving airport infrastructure and mitigating environmental impacts of airport operations. The Authority is authorized to collect $4.50 PFC per enplaned passenger. A significant portion of current and future PFC funds is now being earmarked for various security and infrastructure projects at the Airport.
program guidance to require that AIP funds be prioritized for use in treating the most noise-affected homes inside the 65 CNEL forecasted contour. The Authority’s share of future AIP funds will be contingent on the federal budget process. The FAA allocates AIP grants to 429 commercial air carrier airports nationwide based on federal appropriations.

The rate at which homes will be acoustically treated through the RATP depends on future AIP grant levels, the amount of PFC funds collected and not earmarked for other security or infrastructure purposes, and construction costs. In the last few years, the Authority experienced a considerable increase in the, per unit costs of residential acoustical treatment, due to higher building materials and labor costs that are reflected in contractors’ bids. These increased costs are consistent with a nationwide trend.

**STATUS OF INDIVIDUAL ELEMENTS OF AUTHORITY’S NOISE IMPACT AREA REDUCTION PLAN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEASURE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Continue existing acoustical treatment program for single-family homes.</td>
<td>As of June 30, 2015, Acoustical treatment of 2,445 units is completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Acquisition of avigation easements over land within the 65 + CNEL contour (prior program eligibility area and as currently amended with 2013 Noise Exposure Map)</td>
<td>As of June 30, 2015 a total of 2,179 Avigation Easements have been acquired.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Continue as needed acoustical treatment program for schools and preschools not previously treated within 65 CNEL contour. | Completed.
---|---
4. Continue noise abatement information program. | Ongoing. Airport Monitoring & Flight Tracking System accessible via airport website introduced March 2003. A newer upgraded Flight Tracking System (WebTrak) was introduced to the public via airport website on December 2014. In September 2004 noise abatement information was painted on the Runway 15 blast fence advising pilots of Voluntary Curfew hours and to “Fly Quietly.” Information also was posted at fixed based operations facilities. Illuminated fly quietly information was installed at each aircraft carrier parking gate.
5. Monitor log of nighttime runway use and operations by aircraft type. | Ongoing.

### NOISE ABATEMENT MEASURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEASURE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Continue requiring compliance with Airport’s Engine Test Run-up Policy.</td>
<td>Ongoing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Continue promoting use of NBAA noise abatement procedures, or equivalent manufacture procedure, by general aviation jets.</td>
<td>Ongoing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE BURBANK-GLENDALE-PASADENA AIRPORT AUTHORITY’S
UPDATE REGARDING ITS NOISE IMPACT
AREA REDUCTION PLAN AND ITS PART 161 STUDY
THIRD QUARTER 2015

Pursuant to the California Department of Transportation’s (“Caltrans”) February 28, 2008 decision granting the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority (“Authority”) a variance (“Variance”) from the requirements of Section 5012 of the California Noise Standards, Title 21 California Code of Regulations, §§ 5000 et seq., (“Noise Standards”), effective March 29, 2008 (the “Decision”), the Authority provides the following update regarding the Bob Hope Airport’s (“Airport”) Noise Impact Area Reduction Plan (“Plan”) and the Authority’s Part 161 Study (“Study”):

**SUMMARY OF MAJOR PLAN ACCOMPLISHMENTS SINCE THE GRANT OF THE CURRENT VARIANCE**

- The Airport’s Noise Impact Area for the third quarter 2015, as defined by the Noise Standards, was 5.73 acres of incompatible land within the 65 dB contour a 2.5% increase from the previous quarter of 5.59 acres. The Noise Impact Area at the time the Authority’s current variance was granted was 60.84 acres.

- As of September 30, 2015, the Authority has completed the acoustical treatment of 2,445 residences as part of its Residential Acoustical Treatment Program (“RATP”), and there are no units under construction, design phase or pending signing of the RATP participation agreement. (For a discussion of approved funding for future acoustical treatment, please see separate section entitled “Report Regarding Approved Funding for Future Acoustical Treatment” below.)

---

1 The noise impact area has been calculated using updated master contours beginning in the second quarter of 2009.
SPECIFIC MEASURES TAKEN BY THE AUTHORITY IN THE PAST QUARTER

- During the quarter staff responded to 89 noise complaints from 47 callers, more from the same period in 2014 when 49 noise complaints were filed from 40 callers.

- During the quarter staff sent 8 notices to operators for suspected noise rule violations, an increase of 5 from last quarter and 2 more from the same period in 2014.

- The Authority and its consultant, Coffman Associates continue working toward an update to the Airport’s existing Noise Compatibility Program (NCP). A public hearing was held in March 2015 and a draft final revised NCP was presented to the Airport Authority in July and subsequently submitted to the FAA for their review and approval.

REPORT ON THE STATUS OF THE PART 161 STUDY

The Airport Authority, on February 2, 2009, as required by the Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990 (“ANCA”), submitted pursuant to 14 C.F.R. Part 161 its Part 161 Application to the FAA, seeking approval by the FAA of the imposition of a mandatory full nighttime curfew at the Airport between the hours of 10:00 p.m. through 6:59 a.m. Accompanying the Application, the Authority submitted documentation in support of a finding that the proposed full mandatory curfew in the Application qualifies for a “categorical exclusion” under the National Environmental Policy Act.

As noted in the report for the Third Quarter of 2009, by letter dated October 30, 2009, the FAA announced that it had denied the Authority’s Part 161 Application seeking
FAA approval for the imposition of a full mandatory nighttime curfew at the Airport. The FAA’s decision indicated that it did not find that the Application satisfied four of the six statutory conditions required for a restriction to be approved under Part 161, and that it viewed the ongoing acoustical treatment program at the Airport as the viable method for addressing the Airport’s noise problems.

REPORT ON APPROVED FUNDING FOR FUTURE ACOUSTICAL TREATMENT

The RATP program is an approved mitigation measure contained in the Authority’s second Part 150 Study completed in 1998 and approved by the FAA in 2000. The Authority has commenced a third Part 150 Study and has completed the first of two phases which establishes a revised Noise Exposure Map (NEM). The second phase which updates the Noise Compatibility Program (NCP) is completed in initial draft form and is being reviewed by FAA for completeness; once approved will enable the Authority to apply for further grant funding to continue the program. Until approved by the FAA and posted to the National Register for six (6) months, the Authority will not be able to add any additional units to the RATP program. The mitigation measures being studied by the Authority for inclusion in the NCP are as follows:

- Continued funding for eligible single family parcels within the NEM
- Eligibility for Multi-Family properties within the NEM
- Development of an Avigation Easement purchase option.
- Elimination of certain items previously identified in the NCP as mitigation measures that have been completed or that are no longer applicable.

The Authority has currently issued design and/or construction management contracts for the acoustical treatment of approximately 2,445 homes as part of its RATP.
As referenced above, the RATP is funded by Airport Improvement Program ("AIP")
grants\(^2\) from the federal government, the Authority’s Passenger Facility Charge ("PFC")
program,\(^3\) and Authority funds. Incorporating the revised NEM, the remaining eligible
properties within the current 2nd Quarter 2015 65 CNEL boundary area are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Units</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>In Construction</th>
<th>Not Treated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-Family</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Family</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>143</strong></td>
<td><strong>102</strong></td>
<td><strong>0</strong></td>
<td><strong>41</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the 41 units that have not been treated, the Authority has offered the RATP
benefits to all of these property owners; 11 have reported that they may have future interest
but not at this time, 14 are not able to participate due to code deficiencies, 7 have indicated
they are not interested in participating, and 9 have failed to respond to repeated outreach
efforts.

The Authority’s PFC plan was approved by the FAA and limits the amount of PFC
funding for RATP funding to a maximum of 50% of the total project cost. This PFC plan
was approved by the FAA to permit fee collection over a multi-year period to use PFC
funds to implement various noise mitigation projects. The Authority’s intention has been to

\(^2\) The federal AIP program is administered by the FAA and is intended to provide airports with grant funds
for various FAA-approved programs. The Authority has received, as of the close of the 3rd quarter 2015,
$82,677,619 in AIP grants for acoustic treatment of homes of which $9,659,010 was for the acoustic
treatment of four schools. This level of grant commitment represents 80% AIP funding of the costs for
insulating approximately 2,445 homes; additionally the authority insulated four schools.

\(^3\) The PFC program is based on federal law that allows collection of a fixed fee from each enplaned air carrier
passenger using the Airport. The fees collected are intended for the purpose of improving airport
infrastructure and mitigating environmental impacts of airport operations. The Authority is authorized to
collect $4.50 PFC per enplaned passenger. A significant portion of current and future PFC funds is now
being earmarked for various security and infrastructure projects at the Airport.
conform to its RATP to maximize FAA funding. The FAA previously modified its program guidance to require that AIP funds be prioritized for use in treating the most noise-affected homes inside the 65 CNEL forecasted contour. The Authority’s share of future AIP funds will be contingent on the federal budget process. The FAA allocates AIP grants to 429 commercial air carrier airports nationwide based on federal appropriations.

The rate at which homes will be acoustically treated through the RATP depends on future AIP grant levels, the amount of PFC funds collected and not earmarked for other security or infrastructure purposes, and construction costs. In the last few years, the Authority experienced a considerable increase in the, per unit costs of residential acoustical treatment, due to higher building materials and labor costs that are reflected in contractors’ bids. These increased costs are consistent with a nationwide trend.

### STATUS OF INDIVIDUAL ELEMENTS OF AUTHORITY’S NOISE IMPACT AREA REDUCTION PLAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOISE MITIGATION MEASURES</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Continue existing acoustical treatment program for single-family homes.</td>
<td>As of September 30, 2015, Acoustical treatment of 2,445 units is completed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Acquisition of avigation easements over land within the 65 + CNEL contour (prior program eligibility area and as currently amended with 2013 Noise Exposure Map)</td>
<td>As of September 30, 2015 a total of 2,179 Avigation Easements have been acquired.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Continue as needed acoustical treatment program for schools and preschools not previously treated within 65 CNEL contour. | Completed.

4. Continue noise abatement information program. | Ongoing. Airport Monitoring & Flight Tracking System accessible via airport website introduced March 2003. A newer upgraded Flight Tracking System (WebTrak) was introduced to the public via airport website on December 2014. In September 2004 noise abatement information was painted on the Runway 15 blast fence advising pilots of Voluntary Curfew hours and to “Fly Quietly.” Information also was posted at fixed based operations facilities. Illuminated fly quietly information was installed at each aircraft carrier parking gate.

5. Monitor log of nighttime runway use and operations by aircraft type. | Ongoing.

---

### NOISE ABATEMENT MEASURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEASURE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Continue requiring compliance with Airport’s Engine Test Run-up Policy.</td>
<td>Ongoing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Continue promoting use of NBAA noise abatement procedures, or equivalent manufacture procedure, by general aviation jets.</td>
<td>Ongoing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE BURBANK-GLENDALE-PASADENA AIRPORT AUTHORITY’S
UPDATE REGARDING ITS NOISE IMPACT
AREA REDUCTION PLAN AND ITS PART 161 STUDY
FOURTH QUARTER 2015

Pursuant to the California Department of Transportation’s (“Caltrans”) February
28, 2008 decision granting the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority
(“Authority”) a variance (“Variance”) from the requirements of Section 5012 of the
California Noise Standards, Title 21 California Code of Regulations, §§ 5000 et seq.,
(“Noise Standards”), effective March 29, 2008 (the “Decision”), the Authority provides the
following update regarding the Bob Hope Airport’s (“Airport”) Noise Impact Area
Reduction Plan (“Plan”) and the Authority’s Part 161 Study (“Study”):

SUMMARY OF MAJOR PLAN ACCOMPLISHMENTS SINCE
THE GRANT OF THE CURRENT VARIANCE

- The Airport’s Noise Impact Area for the fourth quarter 2015, as
defined by the Noise Standards, was 5.86 acres of incompatible land within the 65 dB
contour a 2.26% increase from the previous quarter of 5.73 acres.\(^1\) The Noise Impact Area
at the time the Authority’s current variance was granted was 60.84 acres.

- As of December 31, 2015, the Authority has completed the
acoustical treatment of 2,445 residences as part of its Residential Acoustical Treatment
Program (“RATP”), and there are no units under construction, design phase or pending
signing of the RATP participation agreement. (For a discussion of approved funding for
future acoustical treatment, please see separate section entitled “Report Regarding
Approved Funding for Future Acoustical Treatment” below.)

\(^1\) The noise impact area has been calculated using updated master contours beginning in the second quarter of
2009.
SPECIFIC MEASURES TAKEN BY THE AUTHORITY
IN THE PAST QUARTER

- During the quarter, staff responded to 708 noise complaints from 62 callers, more
  from the same period in 2014 when 26 noise complaints were filed from 18 callers.
  Of the 708 noise complaints, it should be noted that 620 noise complaints
  ostensibly were made by 10 different callers but they actually came from a single
  Internet Protocol (IP) address (172.250.66.181).

- During the quarter, staff sent 8 notices to operators for suspected noise rule
  violations, there are no changes from last quarter and there was an increase from
  the same period in 2014.

- The Authority and its consultant, Coffman Associates continue working toward an
  update to the Airport’s existing Noise Compatibility Program (NCP). A public
  hearing was held in March 2015 and a draft final revised NCP was presented to the
  Airport Authority in July and subsequently submitted to the FAA for their review
  and approval.

REPORT ON THE STATUS OF THE PART 161 STUDY

The Airport Authority, on February 2, 2009, as required by the Airport Noise and Capacity
Act of 1990 (“ANCA”), submitted pursuant to 14 C.F.R. Part 161 its Part 161 Application
to the FAA, seeking approval by the FAA of the imposition of a mandatory full nighttime
curfew at the Airport between the hours of 10:00 p.m. through 6:59 a.m. Accompanying
the Application, the Authority submitted documentation in support of a finding that the
proposed full mandatory curfew in the Application qualifies for a “categorical exclusion” under the National Environmental Policy Act.

As noted in the report for the Third Quarter of 2009, by letter dated October 30, 2009, the FAA announced that it had denied the Authority’s Part 161 Application seeking FAA approval for the imposition of a full mandatory nighttime curfew at the Airport. The FAA’s decision indicated that it did not find that the Application satisfied four of the six statutory conditions required for a restriction to be approved under Part 161, and that it viewed the ongoing acoustical treatment program at the Airport as the viable method for addressing the Airport’s noise problems.

REPORT ON APPROVED FUNDING FOR FUTURE ACOUSTICAL TREATMENT

The RATP program is an approved mitigation measure contained in the Authority’s second Part 150 Study completed in 1998 and approved by the FAA in 2000. The Authority has commenced a third Part 150 Study and has completed the first of two phases which establishes a revised Noise Exposure Map (NEM). The second phase which updates the Noise Compatibility Program (NCP) is completed in initial draft form and is being reviewed by FAA for completeness; once approved will enable the Authority to apply for further grant funding to continue the program. Until approved by the FAA and posted to the National Register for six (6) months, the Authority will not be able to add any additional units to the RATP program. The mitigation measures being studied by the Authority for inclusion in the NCP are as follows:

- Continued funding for eligible single family parcels within the NEM
- Eligibility for Multi-Family properties within the NEM
• Development of an Avigation Easement purchase option.
• Elimination of certain items previously identified in the NCP as mitigation measures that have been completed or that are no longer applicable.

The Authority has currently issued design and/or construction management contracts for the acoustical treatment of approximately 2,445 homes as part of its RATP. As referenced above, the RATP is funded by Airport Improvement Program ("AIP") grants\(^2\) from the federal government, the Authority’s Passenger Facility Charge ("PFC") program,\(^3\) and Authority funds. Incorporating the revised NEM, the remaining eligible properties within the current 4th Quarter 2015 65 CNEL boundary area are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Units</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>In Construction</th>
<th>Not Treated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-Family</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Family</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the 42 units that have not been treated, the Authority has offered the RATP benefits to all of these property owners; 12 have reported that they may have future interest but not at this time, 15 are not able to participate due to code deficiencies, 7 have indicated they are not interested in participating, and 8 have failed to respond to repeated outreach efforts.

\(^{2}\) The federal AIP program is administered by the FAA and is intended to provide airports with grant funds for various FAA-approved programs. The Authority has received, as of the close of the 3rd quarter 2015, $82,677,619 in AIP grants for acoustic treatment of homes of which $9,659,010 was for the acoustic treatment of four schools. This level of grant commitment represents 80% AIP funding of the costs for insulating approximately 2,445 homes; additionally the authority insulated four schools.

\(^{3}\) The PFC program is based on federal law that allows collection of a fixed fee from each enplaned air carrier passenger using the Airport. The fees collected are intended for the purpose of improving airport infrastructure and mitigating environmental impacts of airport operations. The Authority is authorized to collect $4.50 PFC per enplaned passenger. A significant portion of current and future PFC funds is now being earmarked for various security and infrastructure projects at the Airport.
The Authority’s PFC plan was approved by the FAA and limits the amount of PFC funding for RATP funding to a maximum of 50% of the total project cost. This PFC plan was approved by the FAA to permit fee collection over a multi-year period to use PFC funds to implement various noise mitigation projects. The Authority’s intention has been to conform to its RATP to maximize FAA funding. The FAA previously modified its program guidance to require that AIP funds be prioritized for use in treating the most noise-affected homes inside the 65 CNEL forecasted contour. The Authority’s share of future AIP funds will be contingent on the federal budget process. The FAA allocates AIP grants to 429 commercial air carrier airports nationwide based on federal appropriations.

The rate at which homes will be acoustically treated through the RATP depends on future AIP grant levels, the amount of PFC funds collected and not earmarked for other security or infrastructure purposes, and construction costs. In the last few years, the Authority experienced a considerable increase in the, per unit costs of residential acoustical treatment, due to higher building materials and labor costs that are reflected in contractors’ bids. These increased costs are consistent with a nationwide trend.

### STATUS OF INDIVIDUAL ELEMENTS OF AUTHORITY’S NOISE IMPACT AREA REDUCTION PLAN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEASURE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Continue existing acoustical treatment program for single-family homes.</td>
<td>As of December 31, 2015, Acoustical treatment of 2, 445 units is completed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. Acquisition of avigation easements over land within the 65 + CNEL contour (prior program eligibility area and as currently amended with 2013 Noise Exposure Map)

As of December 31, 2015 a total of 2,179 Avigation Easements have been acquired.

3. Continue as needed acoustical treatment program for schools and preschools not previously treated within 65 CNEL contour.

Completed.

4. Continue noise abatement information program.

Ongoing. Airport Monitoring & Flight Tracking System accessible via airport website introduced March 2003. A newer upgraded Flight Tracking System (WebTrak) was introduced to the public via airport website on December 2014. In September 2004 noise abatement information was painted on the Runway 15 blast fence advising pilots of Voluntary Curfew hours and to “Fly Quietly.” Information also was posted at fixed based operations facilities. Illuminated fly quietly information was installed at each aircraft carrier parking gate.

5. Monitor log of nighttime runway use and operations by aircraft type.

Ongoing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEASURE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Continue requiring compliance with Airport’s Engine Test Run-up Policy.</td>
<td>Ongoing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Continue promoting use of NBAA noise abatement procedures, or equivalent manufacture procedure, by general aviation jets.</td>
<td>Ongoing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>