

**THE BURBANK-GLENDALE-PASADENA AIRPORT AUTHORITY'S  
UPDATE REGARDING ITS NOISE IMPACT  
AREA REDUCTION PLAN  
FIRST QUARTER 2018**

Pursuant to the California Department of Transportation's ("Caltrans") February 28, 2008 decision granting the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority ("Authority") a variance ("Variance") from the requirements of Section 5012 of the California Noise Standards, Title 21 California Code of Regulations, §§ 5000 et seq., ("Noise Standards"), effective March 29, 2008 (the "Decision"), the Authority provides the following update regarding the Hollywood Burbank Airport's ("Airport") Noise Impact Area Reduction Plan ("Plan").

**SUMMARY OF MAJOR PLAN ACCOMPLISHMENTS SINCE  
THE GRANT OF THE CURRENT VARIANCE**

- The Airport's Noise Impact Area for the first quarter 2018 as defined by the Noise Standards, was 7.90 acres of incompatible land within the 65 dB contour, of which acres did not change from previous quarter.<sup>1</sup> The Noise Impact Area at the time the Authority's current variance was granted was 60.84 acres.
  
- As of March 31, 2018, the Authority has completed the acoustical treatment of 2,445 residences as part of its Residential Acoustical Treatment Program ("RATP"), and there are no units under construction, design phase or pending signing of the RATP participation agreement. (For a discussion of approved funding for future acoustical treatment, please see separate section entitled "Report Regarding Approved Funding for Future Acoustical Treatment" below.)

---

<sup>1</sup> The noise impact area has been calculated using updated master contours beginning in the second quarter of 2009.

## **SPECIFIC MEASURES TAKEN BY THE AUTHORITY IN THE PAST QUARTER**

- During the quarter, staff responded to 1,557 noise complaints from 52 callers, more from the same period in 2017 when 132 noise complaints were filed from 74 callers. Of the 1,557 total complaints, 1,321 complaints were from 4 callers, 2 of which were from Studio City accounting for 584, one caller from Burbank registered 539 complaints and one caller from North Hollywood registered an additional 198 complaints. Residents were primarily concerned with the Federal Aviation Administration's SoCal Metroplex project, the implementation of which they contend has altered flight paths exposing properties that previously were not impacted by aircraft noise.
- The Airport Authority's Operations & Development Committee held two meetings to take comment from the public and for staff to present on the matter. In response to the significant and growing number of complaints, in March 2018 the Authority retained the services of Landrum & Brown to independently verify the cause(s) leading to the increased number. A public meeting was scheduled for the following month that would serve as an opportunity to introduce the Landrum team as well as the meeting to serve as a broader based listening session for community input.
- During the quarter, staff investigated 5 general aviation operators for suspected noise rule violations, the same number from last quarter and a decrease of 2 from the same period in 2017.

## **AUTHORITY’S PRIOR EFFORTS TO OBTAIN MANDATORY CURFEW**

The Airport Authority, on February 2, 2009, as required by the Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990 (“ANCA”), submitted pursuant to 14 C.F.R. Part 161 its Part 161 Application to the FAA, seeking approval by the FAA of the imposition of a mandatory full nighttime curfew at the Airport between the hours of 10:00 p.m. through 6:59 a.m. Accompanying the Application, the Authority submitted documentation in support of a finding that the proposed full mandatory curfew in the Application qualifies for a “categorical exclusion” under the National Environmental Policy Act.

As noted in the report for the Third Quarter of 2009, by letter dated October 30, 2009, the FAA announced that it had denied the Authority’s Part 161 Application seeking FAA approval for the imposition of a full mandatory nighttime curfew at the Airport. The FAA’s decision indicated that it did not find that the Application satisfied four of the six statutory conditions required for a restriction to be approved under Part 161, and that it viewed the ongoing acoustical treatment program at the Airport as the viable method for addressing the Airport’s noise problems.

## **REPORT ON APPROVED FUNDING FOR FUTURE ACOUSTICAL TREATMENT**

The RATP program is an approved mitigation measure contained in both the Authority’s second and third Part 150 Studies approved by the FAA in 2000 and 2016. The Authority has now completed and submitted a pre-application to the FAA for grant funding to continue the program as provided for in the NCP. The mitigation measures approved

for inclusion in the NCP are as follows:

- Continued funding for eligible single family parcels within the NEM
- Eligibility for Multi-Family properties within the NEM
- Development of an Avigation Easement purchase option.
- Elimination of certain items previously identified in the NCP as mitigation measures that have been completed or that are no longer applicable.

As referenced above, the RATP is funded by Airport Improvement Program (“AIP”) grants<sup>2</sup> from the federal government, the Authority’s Passenger Facility Charge (“PFC”) program,<sup>3</sup> and Authority funds. Incorporating the revised NEM, the remaining eligible properties within the current 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2018 - 65 CNEL boundary area are as follows:

<b>1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2018 – 65 dB CNEL</b>				
	<b>Total Units</b>	<b>Completed</b>	<b>In Construction</b>	<b>Not Treated</b>
<b>Single-Family</b>	268	214	0	54
<b>Multi-Family</b>	31	26	0	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>59</b>

Of the 59 units that have not been treated, the Authority has offered the RATP

---

<sup>2</sup> The federal AIP program is administered by the FAA and is intended to provide airports with grant funds for various FAA-approved programs. The Authority has received, as of the close of the 3rd quarter 2015, \$82,677,619 in AIP grants for acoustic treatment of homes of which \$9,659,010 was for the acoustic treatment of four schools. This level of grant commitment represents 80% AIP funding of the costs for insulating approximately 2,445 homes; additionally the authority insulated four schools.

<sup>3</sup> The PFC program is based on federal law that allows collection of a fixed fee from each enplaned air carrier passenger using the Airport. The fees collected are intended for the purpose of improving airport infrastructure and mitigating environmental impacts of airport operations. The Authority is authorized to collect \$4.50 PFC per enplaned passenger. A significant portion of current and future PFC funds is now being earmarked for various security and infrastructure projects at the Airport.

benefits to all of these property owners; 15 have reported that they may have future interest but not at this time, 20 are not able to participate due to code deficiencies, 10 have indicated they are not interested in participating, and 14 have failed to respond to repeated outreach efforts. Under the new program, multi-family residences will be eligible to participate. Additionally, the new program will offer an Avigation Easement Purchase option.

The Authority's PFC plan was approved by the FAA and limits the amount of PFC funding for RATP funding to a maximum of 50% of the total project cost. This PFC plan was approved by the FAA to permit fee collection over a multi-year period to use PFC funds to implement various noise mitigation projects. The Authority's intention has been to conform to its RATP to maximize FAA funding. The FAA previously modified its program guidance to require that AIP funds be prioritized for use in treating the most noise-affected homes inside the 65 CNEL forecasted contour. The Authority's share of future AIP funds will be contingent on the federal budget process. The FAA allocates AIP grants to 429 commercial air carrier airports nationwide based on federal appropriations.

The rate at which homes will be acoustically treated through the RATP depends on future AIP grant levels, the amount of PFC funds collected and not earmarked for other security or infrastructure purposes, and construction costs. In the last few years, the Authority experienced a considerable increase in the per unit costs of residential acoustical treatment due to higher building materials and labor costs that are reflected in contractors' bids. These increased costs are consistent with a nationwide trend.

**STATUS OF INDIVIDUAL ELEMENTS OF AUTHORITY'S  
NOISE IMPACT AREA REDUCTION PLAN**

<b>NOISE MITIGATION MEASURES</b>	
<b>MEASURE</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
1. Continue existing acoustical treatment program for single-family homes.	As of March 31, 2018, Acoustical treatment of 2, 445 units is completed.
2. Acquisition of avigation easements over land within the 65 + CNEL contour (prior program eligibility area and as currently amended with 2013 NEM)	As of March 31, 2018, a total of 2,179 Avigation Easements have been acquired.
3. Continue as needed acoustical treatment program for schools and preschools not previously treated within 65 CNEL contour.	Completed.
4. Continue noise abatement information program.	Ongoing. Airport Monitoring & Flight Tracking System accessible via airport website introduced March 2003. A newer upgraded Flight Tracking System (WebTrak) was introduced to the public via airport website on December 2014. In September 2004 noise abatement information was painted on the Runway 15 blast fence advising pilots of Voluntary Curfew hours and to “Fly Quietly.” Information also was posted at fixed based operations facilities. Illuminated fly quietly information was installed at each aircraft carrier parking gate.
5. Monitor log of nighttime runway use and operations by aircraft type.	Ongoing.
<b>NOISE ABATEMENT MEASURES</b>	
<b>MEASURE</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
1. Continue requiring compliance with Airport’s Engine Test Run-up Policy.	Ongoing.

2. Continue promoting use of AC 91-53A Noise Abatement Departure Procedure by air carrier jets.	Ongoing.
3. Continue promoting use of NBAA noise abatement procedures, or equivalent manufacture procedure, by general aviation jets.	Ongoing.

**THE BURBANK-GLENDALE-PASADENA AIRPORT AUTHORITY'S UPDATE  
REGARDING ITS NOISE IMPACT AREA REDUCTION PLAN**

**SECOND QUARTER 2018**

Pursuant to the California Department of Transportation's ("Caltrans") February 28, 2008 decision granting the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority ("Authority") a variance ("Variance") from the requirements of Section 5012 of the California Noise Standards, Title 21 California Code of Regulations, §§ 5000 et seq., ("Noise Standards"), effective March 29, 2008 (the "Decision"), the Authority provides the following update regarding the Hollywood Burbank Airport's ("Airport") Noise Impact Area Reduction Plan ("Plan").

**SUMMARY OF MAJOR PLAN ACCOMPLISHMENTS SINCE  
THE GRANT OF THE CURRENT VARIANCE**

- The Airport's Noise Impact Area for the second quarter 2018 as defined by the Noise Standards, was 8.61 acres of incompatible land within the 65 dB a 9.0% increase from previous quarter of 7.90 acres.<sup>1</sup> The Noise Impact Area at the time the Authority's current variance was granted was 60.84 acres.

- As of June 30, 2018, the Authority has completed the acoustical treatment of 2,445 residences as part of its Residential Acoustical Treatment Program ("RATP"), and there are no units under construction, design phase or pending signing of the RATP participation agreement. (For a discussion of approved funding for future

---

<sup>1</sup> The noise impact area has been calculated using updated master contours beginning in the second quarter of 2009.



acoustical treatment, please see separate section entitled “Report Regarding Approved Funding for Future Acoustical Treatment” below.)

**SPECIFIC MEASURES TAKEN BY THE AUTHORITY  
IN THE PAST QUARTER**

- During the quarter, staff responded to 5,280 noise complaints from 79 callers, more from the same period in 2017 when 77 noise complaints were filed from 59 callers. Of the 5,280 total complaints, 1157 complaints were filed from 14 Burbank residents and 3,622 complaints were filed from 19 Studio City residents. Residents were primarily concerned with the Federal Aviation Administration’s SoCal Metroplex project, the implementation of which they contend has altered flight paths exposing properties that previously were not impacted by aircraft noise.
- During the quarter, staff sent 7 notices to general aviation operators for suspected noise rule violations, an increase of 2 from last quarter and an increase of 1 from the same period in 2017.
- The Airport Authority’s Operations & Development Committee held two meetings to take comment from the public and for staff to present on the matter. In response to the significant and growing number of complaints, in March 2018 the Authority retained the services of Landrum & Brown to independently verify the cause(s) leading to the increased number. A public meeting was scheduled for the following month that served as an opportunity to introduce the Landrum team as well as the meeting to receive further community input.

## **AUTHORITY’S PRIOR EFFORTS TO OBTAIN MANDATORY CURFEW**

The Airport Authority, on February 2, 2009, as required by the Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990 (“ANCA”), submitted pursuant to 14 C.F.R. Part 161 its Part 161 Application to the FAA, seeking approval by the FAA of the imposition of a mandatory full nighttime curfew at the Airport between the hours of 10:00 p.m. through 6:59 a.m. Accompanying the Application, the Authority submitted documentation in support of a finding that the proposed full mandatory curfew in the Application qualifies for a “categorical exclusion” under the National Environmental Policy Act.

As noted in the report for the Third Quarter of 2009, by letter dated October 30, 2009, the FAA announced that it had denied the Authority’s Part 161 Application seeking FAA approval for the imposition of a full mandatory nighttime curfew at the Airport. The FAA’s decision indicated that it did not find that the Application satisfied four of the six statutory conditions required for a restriction to be approved under Part 161, and that it viewed the ongoing acoustical treatment program at the Airport as the viable method for addressing the Airport’s noise problems.

## **REPORT ON APPROVED FUNDING FOR FUTURE**

### **ACOUSTICAL TREATMENT**

The RATP program is an approved mitigation measure contained in both the Authority’s second and third Part 150 Studies approved by the FAA in 2000 and 2016. The Authority has now completed and submitted a pre-application to the FAA for grant funding to continue the program as provided for in the NCP. The mitigation measures approved for inclusion in the NCP are as follows:

- Continued funding for eligible single family parcels within the NEM
- Eligibility for Multi-Family properties within the NEM
- Development of an Avigation Easement purchase option.
- Elimination of certain items previously identified in the NCP as mitigation measures that have been completed or that are no longer applicable.

As referenced above, the RATP is funded by Airport Improvement Program (“AIP”) grants<sup>2</sup> from the federal government, the Authority’s Passenger Facility Charge (“PFC”) program,<sup>3</sup> and Authority funds. Incorporating the revised NEM, the remaining eligible properties within the current 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2018 - 65 CNEL boundary area are as follows:

<b>2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2018 – 65 dB CNEL</b>				
	<b>Total Units</b>	<b>Completed</b>	<b>In Construction</b>	<b>Not Treated</b>
<b>Single-Family</b>	289	232	0	54
<b>Multi-Family</b>	46	30	0	5
<b>Total</b>	<b>335</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>73</b>

<sup>2</sup> The federal AIP program is administered by the FAA and is intended to provide airports with grant funds for various FAA-approved programs. The Authority has received, as of the close of the 3rd quarter 2015, \$82,677,619 in AIP grants for acoustic treatment of homes of which \$9,659,010 was for the acoustic treatment of four schools. This level of grant commitment represents 80% AIP funding of the costs for insulating approximately 2,445 homes; additionally the authority insulated four schools.

<sup>3</sup> The PFC program is based on federal law that allows collection of a fixed fee from each enplaned air carrier passenger using the Airport. The fees collected are intended for the purpose of improving airport infrastructure and mitigating environmental impacts of airport operations. The Authority is authorized to collect \$4.50 PFC per enplaned passenger. A significant portion of current and future PFC funds is now being earmarked for various security and infrastructure projects at the Airport.

Of the 73 units that have not been treated, the Authority has offered the RATP benefits to all of these property owners; 15 have reported that they may have future interest but not at this time, 32 are not able to participate due to code deficiencies, 12 have indicated they are not interested in participating, and 14 have failed to respond to repeated outreach efforts. Under the new program, multi-family residences will be eligible to participate. Additionally, the new program will offer an Avigation Easement Purchase option.

The Authority's PFC plan was approved by the FAA and limits the amount of PFC funding for RATP funding to a maximum of 50% of the total project cost. This PFC plan was approved by the FAA to permit fee collection over a multi-year period to use PFC funds to implement various noise mitigation projects. The Authority's intention has been to conform to its RATP to maximize FAA funding. The FAA previously modified its program guidance to require that AIP funds be prioritized for use in treating the most noise-affected homes inside the 65 CNEL forecasted contour. The Authority's share of future AIP funds will be contingent on the federal budget process. The FAA allocates AIP grants to 429 commercial air carrier airports nationwide based on federal appropriations.

The rate at which homes will be acoustically treated through the RATP depends on future AIP grant levels, the amount of PFC funds collected and not earmarked for other security or infrastructure purposes, and construction costs. In the last few years, the Authority experienced a considerable increase in the per unit costs of residential acoustical treatment due to higher building materials and labor costs that are reflected in contractors' bids. These increased costs are consistent with a nationwide trend.

**STATUS OF INDIVIDUAL ELEMENTS OF AUTHORITY'S**  
**NOISE IMPACT AREA REDUCTION PLAN**

<b>NOISE MITIGATION MEASURES</b>	
<b>MEASURE</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
1. Continue existing acoustical treatment program for single-family homes.	As of June 30, 2018, Acoustical treatment of 2, 445 units is completed.
2. Acquisition of avigation easements over land within the 65 + CNEL contour (prior program eligibility area and as currently amended with 2013 NEM)	As of June 30, 2018, a total of 2,179 Avigation Easements have been acquired.
3. Continue as needed acoustical treatment program for schools and preschools not previously treated within 65 CNEL contour.	Completed.

<p>4. Continue noise abatement information program.</p>	<p>Ongoing. Airport Monitoring &amp; Flight Tracking System accessible via airport website introduced March 2003. A newer upgraded Flight Tracking System (WebTrak) was introduced to the public via airport website on December 2014. In September 2004 noise abatement information was painted on the Runway 15 blast fence advising pilots of Voluntary Curfew hours and to “Fly Quietly.” Information also was posted at fixed based operations facilities. Illuminated fly quietly information was installed at each aircraft carrier parking gate.</p>
<p>5. Monitor log of nighttime runway use and operations by aircraft type.</p>	<p>Ongoing.</p>
<p><b>NOISE ABATEMENT MEASURES</b></p>	
<p><b>MEASURE</b></p>	<p><b>STATUS</b></p>
<p>1. Continue requiring compliance with Airport’s Engine Test Run-up Policy.</p>	<p>Ongoing.</p>

<p>2. Continue promoting use of AC 91-53A Noise Abatement Departure Procedure by air carrier jets.</p>	<p>Ongoing.</p>
<p>3. Continue promoting use of NBAA noise abatement procedures, or equivalent manufacture procedure, by general aviation jets.</p>	<p>Ongoing.</p>

**THE BURBANK-GLENDALE-PASADENA AIRPORT AUTHORITY'S UPDATE  
REGARDING ITS NOISE IMPACT AREA REDUCTION PLAN**

**THIRD QUARTER 2018**

Pursuant to the California Department of Transportation's ("Caltrans") February 28, 2008 decision granting the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority ("Authority") a variance ("Variance") from the requirements of Section 5012 of the California Noise Standards, Title 21 California Code of Regulations, §§ 5000 et seq., ("Noise Standards"), effective March 29, 2008 (the "Decision"), the Authority provides the following update regarding the Hollywood Burbank Airport's ("Airport") Noise Impact Area Reduction Plan ("Plan").

**SUMMARY OF MAJOR PLAN ACCOMPLISHMENTS SINCE  
THE GRANT OF THE CURRENT VARIANCE**

- The Airport's Noise Impact Area for the third quarter 2018 as defined by the Noise Standards, was 8.76 acres of incompatible land within the 65 dB a 1.0% increase from previous quarter of 8.61 acres.<sup>1</sup> The Noise Impact Area at the time the Authority's current variance was granted was 60.84 acres.

- As of September 30, 2018, the Authority has completed the acoustical treatment of 2,445 residences as part of its Residential Acoustical Treatment Program ("RATP"), and there are no units under construction, design phase or pending signing of the RATP participation agreement. (For a discussion of approved funding for

---

<sup>1</sup> The noise impact area has been calculated using updated master contours beginning in the second quarter of 2009.



future acoustical treatment, please see separate section entitled “Report Regarding Approved Funding for Future Acoustical Treatment” below.)

**SPECIFIC MEASURES TAKEN BY THE AUTHORITY  
IN THE PAST QUARTER**

- During the quarter, staff responded to 37,077 noise complaints from 175 callers, more from the same period in 2017 when 168 noise complaints were filed from 67 callers. Of the 37,077 total complaints, 86 complaints were from local residents in Burbank. The balance of the complaints were focused primarily on the FAA’s implementation of NextGen flight procedure and were submitted by residents in Studio City and Sherman Oaks, miles from the outer most boundary of the current 65 CNEL contour.
- During the quarter, staff sent 5 notices to general aviation operators for suspected noise rule violations, no change from last quarter and a decrease of 2 from the same period in 2017.
- The Airport Authority’s Operations & Development Committee held two meetings to take comment from the public and for staff to present on the matter. In response to the significant and growing number of complaints, in March 2018 the Authority retained the services of Landrum & Brown to independently verify the cause(s) leading to the increased number. A public meeting was held on October 18, 2018, to present the finding to the community and receive further community input.

## **AUTHORITY’S PRIOR EFFORTS TO OBTAIN MANDATORY CURFEW**

The Airport Authority, on February 2, 2009, as required by the Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990 (“ANCA”), submitted pursuant to 14 C.F.R. Part 161 its Part 161 Application to the FAA, seeking approval by the FAA of the imposition of a mandatory full nighttime curfew at the Airport between the hours of 10:00 p.m. through 6:59 a.m. Accompanying the Application, the Authority submitted documentation in support of a finding that the proposed full mandatory curfew in the Application qualifies for a “categorical exclusion” under the National Environmental Policy Act.

As noted in the report for the Third Quarter of 2009, by letter dated October 30, 2009, the FAA announced that it had denied the Authority’s Part 161 Application seeking FAA approval for the imposition of a full mandatory nighttime curfew at the Airport. The FAA’s decision indicated that it did not find that the Application satisfied four of the six statutory conditions required for a restriction to be approved under Part 161, and that it viewed the ongoing acoustical treatment program at the Airport as the viable method for addressing the Airport’s noise problems.

## **REPORT ON APPROVED FUNDING FOR FUTURE**

### **ACOUSTICAL TREATMENT**

The RATP program is an approved mitigation measure contained in both the Authority’s second and third Part 150 Studies approved by the FAA in 2000 and 2016. The Authority has now completed and submitted a pre-application to the FAA for grant funding to continue the program as provided for in the NCP. The mitigation measures approved for inclusion in the NCP are as follows:

- Continued funding for eligible single family parcels within the NEM
- Eligibility for Multi-Family properties within the NEM
- Development of an Avigation Easement purchase option.
- Elimination of certain items previously identified in the NCP as mitigation measures that have been completed or that are no longer applicable.

As referenced above, the RATP is funded by Airport Improvement Program (“AIP”) grants<sup>2</sup> from the federal government, the Authority’s Passenger Facility Charge (“PFC”) program,<sup>3</sup> and Authority funds. Incorporating the revised NEM, the remaining eligible properties within the current 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter 2018 - 65 CNEL boundary area are as follows:

<b>3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter 2018 – 65 dB CNEL</b>				
	<b>Total Units</b>	<b>Completed</b>	<b>In Construction</b>	<b>Not Treated</b>
<b>Single-Family</b>	297	239	0	58
<b>Multi-Family</b>	46	30	0	16
<b>Total</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>74</b>

---

<sup>2</sup> The federal AIP program is administered by the FAA and is intended to provide airports with grant funds for various FAA-approved programs. The Authority has received, as of the close of the 3rd quarter 2015, \$82,677,619 in AIP grants for acoustic treatment of homes of which \$9,659,010 was for the acoustic treatment of four schools. This level of grant commitment represents 80% AIP funding of the costs for insulating approximately 2,445 homes; additionally the authority insulated four schools.

<sup>3</sup> The PFC program is based on federal law that allows collection of a fixed fee from each enplaned air carrier passenger using the Airport. The fees collected are intended for the purpose of improving airport infrastructure and mitigating environmental impacts of airport operations. The Authority is authorized to collect \$4.50 PFC per enplaned passenger. A significant portion of current and future PFC funds is now being earmarked for various security and infrastructure projects at the Airport.

Of the 74 units that have not been treated, the Authority has offered the RATP benefits to all of these property owners; 15 have reported that they may have future interest but not at this time, 32 are not able to participate due to code deficiencies, 12 have indicated they are not interested in participating, and 15 have failed to respond to repeated outreach efforts. Under the new program, multi-family residences will be eligible to participate. Additionally, the new program will offer an Avigation Easement Purchase option.

The Authority's PFC plan was approved by the FAA and limits the amount of PFC funding for RATP funding to a maximum of 50% of the total project cost. This PFC plan was approved by the FAA to permit fee collection over a multi-year period to use PFC funds to implement various noise mitigation projects. The Authority's intention has been to conform to its RATP to maximize FAA funding. The FAA previously modified its program guidance to require that AIP funds be prioritized for use in treating the most noise-affected homes inside the 65 CNEL forecasted contour. The Authority's share of future AIP funds will be contingent on the federal budget process. The FAA allocates AIP grants to 429 commercial air carrier airports nationwide based on federal appropriations.

The rate at which homes will be acoustically treated through the RATP depends on future AIP grant levels, the amount of PFC funds collected and not earmarked for other security or infrastructure purposes, and construction costs. In the last few years, the Authority experienced a considerable increase in the per unit costs of residential acoustical treatment due to higher building materials and labor costs that are reflected in contractors' bids. These increased costs are consistent with a nationwide trend.

**STATUS OF INDIVIDUAL ELEMENTS OF AUTHORITY'S**  
**NOISE IMPACT AREA REDUCTION PLAN**

<b>NOISE MITIGATION MEASURES</b>	
<b>MEASURE</b>	<b>STATUS</b>
1. Continue existing acoustical treatment program for single-family homes.	As of September 30, 2018, Acoustical treatment of 2, 445 units is completed.
2. Acquisition of avigation easements over land within the 65 + CNEL contour (prior program eligibility area and as currently amended with 2013 NEM)	As of September 30, 2018, a total of 2,179 Avigation Easements have been acquired.
3. Continue as needed acoustical treatment program for schools and preschools not previously treated within 65 CNEL contour.	Completed.

<p>4. Continue noise abatement information program.</p>	<p>Ongoing. Airport Monitoring &amp; Flight Tracking System accessible via airport website introduced March 2003. A newer upgraded Flight Tracking System (WebTrak) was introduced to the public via airport website on December 2014. In September 2004 noise abatement information was painted on the Runway 15 blast fence advising pilots of Voluntary Curfew hours and to “Fly Quietly.” Information also was posted at fixed based operations facilities. Illuminated fly quietly information was installed at each aircraft carrier parking gate.</p>
<p>5. Monitor log of nighttime runway use and operations by aircraft type.</p>	<p>Ongoing.</p>
<p><b>NOISE ABATEMENT MEASURES</b></p>	
<p><b>MEASURE</b></p>	<p><b>STATUS</b></p>
<p>1. Continue requiring compliance with Airport’s Engine Test Run-up Policy.</p>	<p>Ongoing.</p>

<p>2. Continue promoting use of AC 91-53A Noise Abatement Departure Procedure by air carrier jets.</p>	<p>Ongoing.</p>
<p>3. Continue promoting use of NBAA noise abatement procedures, or equivalent manufacture procedure, by general aviation jets.</p>	<p>Ongoing.</p>